

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,771	02/04/2004	John McBride	CON-004PA	5157
7590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Robertson & Mullinax, LLC P O Box 26029			BUI, LUAN KIM	
Greenville, SC 29616			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3728	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/771,771	MCBRIDE, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luan K Bui	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day. I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	s action is non-final.					
. —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		eatent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (2003/0217946) in view of McQueeny et al. (5,361,905; hereinafter McQueeny'905). Hsu discloses in the embodiment of Figures 3 and 4 a wiper sheet packaging system comprising a container/envelope (4) having a mouth opening (43), a first plurality of stacked, wiper sheets (2) defining a first column of wiper sheets and at least a second plurality of stacked, wiper sheets (3) defining a second column of wiper sheets. The first column and the second column of wiper sheets are disposed substantially transverse to the mouth opening. Hsu also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the container/envelope being formed of pliable material and the sheets being folded sheets with the folded edges of the first plurality of stacked, folded wiper sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the first column and the folded edges of the second plurality of stacked, folded wiper sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the second column and the folded lateral boundary of the first column being disposed in opposing relation to the folded lateral boundary of the second column. McQueeny'905 shows in the embodiment of Figure 4 a packaging system (20) comprising a containment envelope (22) of pliable material having an opening defines by a removable strip (82) with frangible lines (78, 80), a first plurality of stacked, folded sheets (94, 96) defining a first column of sheets and folded edges of the first plurality of stacked, folded sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the first column and at

Application/Control Number: 10/771,771

Art Unit: 3728

least a second plurality of stacked, folded sheets (94, 96a) defining a second column of sheets and folded edges of the second plurality of stacked, folded sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the second column. McQueeny'905 further shows the folded lateral boundary of the first column is disposed in opposing relation to the folded lateral boundary of the second column. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of McQueeny'905 to modify the packaging system of Hsu the container/envelope is formed of pliable material and the sheets are folded sheets with the folded edges of the first plurality of stacked, folded wiper sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the first column and the folded edges of the second plurality of stacked, folded wiper sheets defines a folded lateral boundary of the second column and the folded lateral boundary of the first column is disposed in opposing relation to the folded lateral boundary of the second column to reduce the size of the packaging system and to provide more convenience for the user.

Page 3

3. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nakamura et al. (6,026,953; hereinafter Nakamura'953). Hsu as modified further fails to show a displaceable cover element being disposed in sealing relation across the mouth opening and the cover element being adapted to be resealable across the mouth opening. Nakamura'953 shows a wiper sheet packaging system comprising a containment envelope (1) of pliable material for holding a plurality of stacked, folded wiper sheets and each sheet is presaturated with a cleaning agent and the container having a mouth opening (12) and a displaceable cover element (23) disposed in sealing relation across the mouth opening and the cover element is adapted to be resealable across the mouth opening.

Art Unit: 3728

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Nakamura'953 to modify the packaging system of Hsu as modified so it includes a displaceable cover element disposed in sealing relation across the mouth opening and the cover element is adapted to be resealable across the mouth opening for better protecting the sheets. As to claims 3-7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Nakamura'953 to modify the packaging system of Hsu so the wiper sheets comprise the wiper sheets are presaturated with a cleaning agent to allow the packaging system to package various types of wiper sheets.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Merilyn Watts at (571) 272-4398.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

December 19, 2004

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner